Neighborhood Economic Risk Assessment

City of Las Vegas

Q3 2015





March 4, 2016

Cheryl Ross Office of Community Services City of Las Vegas 495 S. Main St. Las Vegas, NV 89101

RE: City of Las Vegas | Neighborhood Economic Risk Assessment

Dear Ms. Ross:

In accordance with your request, Applied Analysis ("AA") is pleased to submit the enclosed City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Economic Risk Assessment for the third quarter of 2015. AA was retained by the City of Las Vegas Office of Community Services ("the City") to assist in the preparation of an index of community economic risk (the "Neighborhood Risk Index" or the "NRI"). This draft summary presentation report outlines the strategy, methodology and preliminary findings of our review and analysis.

This report and index was designed by AA in response to your request. However, we make no representations as to the adequacy of these procedures for all your purposes. Generally speaking, though our findings and estimates are as of the latest data available, this draft report is intended to develop a methodology to be followed on a continuing basis.

Our report contains economic and real estate data pertaining to the City and the Las Vegas valley as a whole. This information was collected from various third parties and assembled by AA in such a manner as to provide insight based on its aggregated form. While we have no reason to doubt its accuracy, the information collected was not subjected to any auditing or review procedures by AA and; therefore, we can offer no representations or assurances as to its completeness.

This presentation report is a summary of the analysis undertaken and the conclusion of our analyses. It is intended to provide an overview of the analyses conducted and a summary of our findings. AA will retain additional working papers relevant to this study. If you reproduce this report, it must be done so in its entirety.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this report with you at any time. Should you have any questions, please contact Jeremy Aguero or Brian Gordon at (702) 967-3333.

Sincerely.

Applied Analysis

Neighborhood Risk Index (NRI)

Applied Analysis was retained by the City of Las Vegas Office of Community Services to develop an index of "neighborhood risk" that would identify focus areas for the deployment of resources under the control of the City.

This is a draft overview of the development of the Neighborhood Risk Index (NRI). This analysis is inherently limited to the quality of the input data as provided by the listed entities and provides a general overview of how specific geographic areas (defined as zip codes) are being impacted by a variety of social and economic factors. We anticipate that these factors, and the weights they are assigned in this analysis, will evolve over time.

This analysis contains information on eight key variables researched from:

- Nevada Division of Welfare & Support Services (three variables)
- Nevada Department of Employment, Training & Rehabilitation (one variable)
- Clark County Recorder (one variable)
- Clark County Assessor (one variable)
- Clark County Comprehensive Planning (one variable)
- Applied Analysis (one variable)



methodology

: a body of methods, postulates or procedures of inquiry in a particular field



<u>Objective</u>: The City of Las Vegas is seeking to use economic and social data to identify sub-regions within the City at a heightened risk for long-term instability

Approach: Create a Neighborhood Risk Index (NRI) by: (1) identifying risk categories; (2) decomposing each category into factors, creating common sizing and weights for the factors; and (3) calculating a mathematical composition of the area's risk and size (the NRI)

<u>Concept</u>: By identifying the regions that are at the greatest and most sizable risk, the City can direct resources to areas where they can do the *greatest good for the greatest number of people*

Identifying Instability - Categories and Factors





Factors

Commercial Vacancies

Bank Owned Properties

Unemployment

Insurance Claims

Medicaid Recipients

SNAP Recipients

Identifying Instability - Categories and Factors

	Index	
Category	Factor	Timeframe
3	TANF : Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	6 Month Rolling
\$ 0 5	Medicaid	Average
Household Instability	SNAP : Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	
Employment Instability	Unemployment Insurance Claims ¹	6 Month Rolling Average
	Foreclosures	6 Month Rolling Total
Neighborhood Instability	Residential Vacancies	
	Commercial Vacancies	Varying Timeframes ²
	Bank Owned Properties	

¹ Unemployment insurance claims are a fraction of total unemployment; this variable does not represent the "unemployment rate"

² Residential vacancies based on annual data; commercial vacancies based on quarterly data; bank owned properties based on current snapshot



Common Sizing of Critical Factors

Common Sizing: All factors were expressed as per 1,000 housing units (**HU**) or per 1,000 population (**POP**) where appropriate¹; these measures were then expressed as a 100-base ratio of their valley- or city-wide average

Area	Factor	Factor Index Value
Α	650	130
В	600	120
С	550	110
D	500	100
Е	450	90
F	400	80
G	350	70
V	/alley-wide Average = 500	

¹Commercial vacancy is expressed as the percentage of commercial space that is available.



Weights and Composite Risk

Not all factors are assumed to be equally important; modeling allows the City to weight factors based on their relative impact or on policy objectives

Category	Category Weight	Index Factor	Factor Weight
A		TANF	8.3%
505	25%	Medicaid	8.3%
Household Instability		SNAP	8.3%
Employment Instability	25%	Unemployment Insurance Claims	25.0%
		Foreclosures	25.0%
Neighborhood Instability		Residential Vacancies	8.3%
	50%	Commercial Vacancies	8.3%
Instability		Bank Owned Properties	8.3%

Initial conditions for the factor weights assumed 50% household and employment assistance indicators and 50% real estate indicators




Weights and Composite Risk

Not all factors are assumed to be equally important; modeling allows the City to weight factors based on their relative impact or on policy objectives

Category	Category Weight	Index Factor	Factor Weight
		TANF	8.3%
5 D \$	25%	Medicaid	8.3%
Household Instability		SNAP	8.3%
Employment Instability	25%	Unemployment Insurance Claims	25.0%
		Foreclosures	25.0%
Neighborhood		Residential Vacancies	8.3%
	50%	Commercial Vacancies	8.3%
Instability		Bank Owned Properties	8.3%

Once weighted, factors were combined into a single measure...






Methodology of the NRI Relativity and Composite Risk

GOAL

Focus the City's efforts, making the best use of limited resources


Composite risk was weighted by the number of occupied housing units in the zip code; this way, the City can equalize risk to do the greatest good for the greatest number of people








Relativity and Composite Risk





summary

: an abstract, abridgment or compendium especially of a preceding discourse



Changes to the Report

Revisions to TANF, Medicaid and SNAP recipient data has resulted in slight changes to the data series. Comparisons to the previous quarter have been made using a revised dataset.


Differences between the datasets are shown below.

Indicator	Original	Revised	Change
TANF Recipients			
Valley-wide	24,783	24,789	+6 (+0.02%)
City-wide	9,880	9,883	+3 (+0.03%)
Medicaid Recipients			
Valley-wide	422,715	424,611	+1,896 (+0.45%)
City-wide	165,406	166,184	+778 (+0.47%)
SNAP Recipients			
Valley-wide	308,046	308,164	+118 (+0.04%)
City-wide	121,598	121,645	+47 (+0.04%)

Note: Valley-wide figures are not reflective of Clark County totals; rather, they reflect the total recipients for the zip codes used in this analysis.




What Areas in the Las Vegas Valley have the Highest Economic Risk?





SummaryValley-wide NRI




- Low
- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High

		0000		
		89002		
		89014		
		89081		
		89103		
		89117		
		89120		
		89123		
		89128		
		89129		
		89130		
		89139		
	89011	89141	89012	
	89015	89142	89044	
	89032	89145	89052	
	89101	89146	89074	
	89102	89147	89084	
89030	89104	89148	89086	89085
89031	89107	89149	89113	89134
89106	89109	89156	89118	89135
89108	89115	89169	89131	89138
89110	89119	89178	89143	89144
89121	89122	89183	89166	89179
High	Medium-	Medium	Medium-	Low
	High		Low	



City of Las Vegas

SummaryValley-wide NRI



- Low
- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High

	City of I	Las Ve	egas zip) cod	les i	in	<u>bol</u>	d


		89002		
		89014		
		89081		
		89103		
		<u>89117</u>		
		89120		
		89123		
		<u>89128</u>		
		<u>89129</u>		
		<u>89130</u>		
		89139		
	89011	89141	89012	
	89015	89142	89044	
	89032	<u>89145</u>	89052	
	<u>89101</u>	<u>89146</u>	89074	
	<u>89102</u>	89147	89084	
89030	<u>89104</u>	89148	89086	89085
89031	<u>89107</u>	<u>89149</u>	89113	<u>89134</u>
<u>89106</u>	89109	89156	89118	89135
<u>89108</u>	89115	89169	<u>89131</u>	<u>89138</u>
<u>89110</u>	89119	89178	<u>89143</u>	<u>89144</u>
89121	89122	89183	<u>89166</u>	89179
High	Medium-	Medium	Medium-	Low
	High		Low	




What Areas Within the City have the Highest Economic Risk?*


(*) **NOTE:** City of Las Vegas NRI is calculated independently from the valley-wide NRI, so areas within the City can be compared to one another.













- Low
- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High





		89102		
		89117		
		89128		
		89129		
	89101	89130	89131	
	89104	89145	89143	
89106	89107	89146	89144	89134
89108	89110	89149	89166	89138
High	Medium	Medium	Medium	Low
	-High		-Low	




Zip Code	89106	89108	City Average	LV Valley Average
TANF Recipients Per 1,000 Population	36.8	16.1	12.4	11.1
Medicaid Recipients Per 1,000 Population	519.9	287.4	231.1	211.7
SNAP Recipients Per 1,000 Population	434.0	212.8	170.3	154.5
Unemployment Ins. Per 1,000 Population	13.4	13.1	11.8	12.1
Foreclosures Per 1,000 Total Housing Units	2.4	3.4	2.7	2.7
Residential Vacancies Per 1,000 Total Housing Units	196.5	44.6	64.7	72.6
Commercial Vacancy	8.4%	13.2%	15.1%	16.2%
Bank Owned Homes Per 1,000 Total Housing Units	17.4	18.6	15.2	14.7



elements

: a constituent part; necessary data values on which calculations or conclusions are based







Employment Instability





Unemp. Insurance | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

Zip Codes	Unemployment Insurance Claims (per 1,000 POP)	Valley-wide Mean (per 1,000 POP)	Unemployment Insurance Claims Index Value
<u>89104</u>	<u>16.6</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>137</u>
89120	15.7	12.1	130
89109	15.3	12.1	127
89103	14.8	12.1	123
89032	14.7	12.1	122
<u>89146</u>	<u>14.6</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>121</u>
89121	14.3	12.1	118
89178	14.3	12.1	118
89156	14.3	12.1	118
<u>89130</u>	<u>14.1</u>	<u>12.1</u>	<u>117</u>






Unemp. Insurance | Valley-wide Distribution Map

The rate of unemployment insurance claims per 1,000 residents dropped to 12.1 from 12.2 last quarter (down 1.2 percent).*



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High



*Data have been made available through the most recent quarter (June 2015); comparisons to the prior quarter have been made using a revised dataset






Unemp. Insurance | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas
Zip Codes

The rate of unemployment insurance claims per 1,000 residents dropped to 12.1 from 12.2 last quarter (down 1.2 percent).*

- Low
- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High



*Data have been made available through the most recent quarter (June 2015); comparisons to the prior quarter have been made using a revised dataset





Elements of the NRI Unemp. Insurance | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip Codes

In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	Unemployment Insurance Claims (per 1,000 POP)	CLV Mean (per 1,000 POP)	Unemployment Insurance Claims Index Value
89104	16.6	11.8	141
89146	14.6	11.8	124
89130	14.1	11.8	120
89106	13.4	11.8	114
89108	13.1	11.8	111


Mean unemployment insurance claims per 1,000 residents:

Valley-wide 12.1 City of Las Vegas 11.8






Elements of the NRI Unemp. Insurance | CLV Distribution Map







Neighborhood Instability





Foreclosures | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

Zip Codes	Foreclosures (per 1,000 HU)	Valley-wide Mean (per 1,000 HU)	Foreclosures Index Value
89142	4.8	2.7	178
89031	4.7	2.7	174
<u>89107</u>	<u>4.4</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>162</u>
89156	4.1	2.7	151
89011	4.0	2.7	146
89015	4.0	2.7	146
89122	3.9	2.7	144
89139	3.9	2.7	142
89002	3.8	2.7	139
89030	3.7	2.7	135






Elements of the NRI Foreclosures | Valley-wide Distribution Map

The rate of foreclosures per 1,000 housing units remained unchanged from the previous quarter at 2.7.



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High








Elements of the NRI Foreclosures | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas Zip Codes

The rate of foreclosures per 1,000 housing units remained unchanged from the previous quarter at 2.7.



Low

■ Medium-Low

Medium

Medium-High

High





Elements of the NRIForeclosures | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip Codes

In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	Foreclosures (per 1,000 HU)	CLV Mean (per 1,000 HU)	Foreclosures Index Value
89107	4.4	2.7	164
89128	3.5	2.7	131
89110	3.4	2.7	127
89108	3.4	2.7	126
89166	3.3	2.7	123

Mean foreclosures per 1,000 housing units:


City of Las Vegas 2.7

Valley-wide 2.7





Elements of the NRIForeclosures | CLV Distribution Map







Residential Vacancy | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

Zip Codes	Residential Vacancies (per 1,000 HU)	Valley-wide Mean (per 1,000 HU)	Residential Vacancies Index Value
89109	689.8	72.6	950
89086	215.9	72.6	297
<u>89106</u>	<u> 196.5</u>	<u>72.6</u>	<u>271</u>
89179	178.2	72.6	245
<u>89101</u>	<u>170.1</u>	<u>72.6</u>	<u>234</u>
89169	166.1	72.6	229
<u>89166</u>	<u>156.8</u>	<u>72.6</u>	<u>216</u>
89011	140.1	72.6	193
<u>89102</u>	<u>135.4</u>	<u>72.6</u>	<u>186</u>
89178	134.4	72.6	185



Neighborhood Instability


Elements of the NRI

Residential Vacancy | Valley-wide Distribution Map

The rate of residential vacancies per 1,000 housing units remained at 72.6 due to unchanged annual data.



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High






Neighborhood Instability

Elements of the NRI

Residential Vacancy | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas
Zip Codes

The rate of residential vacancies per 1,000 housing units remained at 72.6 due to unchanged annual data.



- Low
- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High





Elements of the NRI Residential Vacancy | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip Codes

In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	Residential Vacancies (per 1,000 HU)	CLV Mean (per 1,000 HU)	Residential Vacancies Index Value
89106	196.5	64.7	304
89101	170.1	64.7	263
89166	156.8	64.7	242
89102	135.4	64.7	209
89104	111.5	64.7	172

Mean residential vacancies per 1,000

housing units:

Valley-wide 72.6 City of Las Vegas 64.7








Elements of the NRI Residential Vacancy | CLV Distribution Map

The rate of residential vacancies per 1,000 housing units remained at 64.7 due to unchanged annual data.





■ Medium-Low

Medium

Medium-High

High



Rancho



Commercial Vacancy | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

Zip Codes	Commercial Vacancy Rate	Valley-wide Mean	Commercial Vacancy Rate Index Value
89011	42.0%	16.2%	258
89109	24.2%	16.2%	149
<u>89102</u>	<u>24.1%</u>	<u>16.2%</u>	<u>148</u>
<u>89143</u>	<u>23.6%</u>	<u>16.2%</u>	<u>145</u>
89113	23.2%	16.2%	143
89119	21.6%	16.2%	133
89120	21.6%	16.2%	133
89118	21.4%	16.2%	132
89002	21.1%	16.2%	130
89169	20.9%	16.2%	128



C

Elements of the NRI


Commercial Vacancy | Valley-wide Distribution Map

Neighborhood Instability

Valley-wide commercial vacancy dropped to 16.2 percent from 16.4 percent last quarter (down 0.2 percentage point). 89011 had the highest vacancy rate at 42.0 percent, roughly 2.6 times higher than the valley-wide average. This was due to the addition of a 14,000 SF vacant office building in Lake Las Vegas. Within the urban valley, 89109 had the highest vacancy rate at 24.2 percent.



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High



Note: Zip codes in grey (excluding 89161 which is not part of the index) have no material commercial space.



Neighborhood


Instability

Elements of the NRI

Commercial Vacancy | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas
Zip Codes

Valley-wide commercial vacancy dropped to 16.2 percent from 16.4 percent last quarter (down 0.2 percentage point). 89011 had the highest vacancy rate at 42.0 percent, roughly 2.6 times higher than the valley-wide average. This was due to the addition of a 14,000 SF vacant office building in Lake Las Vegas. Within the urban valley, 89109 had the highest vacancy rate at 24.2 percent.



Low

Medium-Low

Medium

Medium-High

High

Note: Zip codes in grey (excluding 89161 which is not part of the index) have no material commercial space.





Elements of the NRI Commercial Vacancy | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip CodesIn the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	Commercial Vacancy Rate	CLV Mean	Commercial Vacancy Rate Index Value
89102	24.1%	15.1%	159
89143	23.6%	15.1%	156
89128	17.9%	15.1%	119
89129	17.8%	15.1%	118
89146	17.1%	15.1%	113


Mean commercial vacancy rate:

Valley-wide 16.2% City of Las Vegas 15.1%





Elements of the NRI Commercial Vacancy | CLV Distribution Map







Bank Owned Homes | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

Zip Codes	Bank Owned Homes (per 1,000 HU)	Valley-wide Mean (per 1,000 HU)	Bank Owned Homes Index Value
89030	29.6	14.7	201
89156	26.4	14.7	179
89031	25.6	14.7	174
89142	25.3	14.7	172
<u>89110</u>	<u>22.8</u>	<u>14.7</u>	<u>155</u>
<u>89143</u>	<u>22.6</u>	<u>14.7</u>	<u>154</u>
89032	22.6	14.7	153
89141	22.5	14.7	153
<u>89107</u>	<u>20.9</u>	<u>14.7</u>	<u>142</u>
89081	20.8	14.7	141



Neighborhood

Instability


Elements of the NRI

Bank Owned Homes | Valley-wide Distribution Map

The rate of bank owned homes per 1,000 housing units continued to drop. The rate fell to 14.7 from 14.9 last quarter (down 0.9 percent).



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High





Neighborhood Instability

Elements of the NRI


Bank Owned Homes | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas
Zip Codes

The rate of bank owned homes per 1,000 housing units continued to drop. The rate fell to 14.7 from 14.9 last quarter (down 0.9 percent).



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High







Elements of the NRI Bank Owned Homes | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip Codes

In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	Bank Owned Homes (per 1,000 HU)	CLV Mean (per 1,000 HU)	Bank Owned Homes Index Value
89110	22.8	15.2	150
89143	22.6	15.2	148
89107	20.9	15.2	137
89108	18.6	15.2	122
89106	17.4	15.2	114

Mean bank owned homes per 1,000 housing units:

City of Las Vegas 15.2 Valley-wide 14.7







Elements of the NRI Bank Owned Homes | CLV Distribution Map

The rate of bank owned homes per 1,000 housing units continued to drop. The rate fell to 15.2 from 15.7 last quarter (down 2.8 percent).




■ Medium-Low

Medium

Medium-High

High







Household Instability





TANF | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)


Zip Codes	TANF Recipients (per 1,000 POP)	Valley-wide Mean (per 1,000 POP)	TANF Recipients Index Value
89030	36.8	11.1	332
<u>89106</u>	<u>36.8</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>332</u>
<u>89101</u>	<u>28.1</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>253</u>
89115	26.2	11.1	237
89169	23.2	11.1	209
<u>89102</u>	<u>21.7</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>195</u>
<u>89104</u>	<u>21.3</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>192</u>
89109	20.2	11.1	182
<u>89110</u>	<u>17.2</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>155</u>
<u>89108</u>	<u>16.1</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>146</u>





Elements of the NRITANF | Valley-wide Distribution Map

The rate of TANF recipients per 1,000 residents continued to drop. The rate fell to 11.1 from 12.2 last quarter (down 8.9 percent).



- Low
- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High






Elements of the NRITANF | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas
Zip Codes

The rate of TANF recipients per 1,000 residents continued to drop. The rate fell to 11.1 from 12.2 last quarter (down 8.9 percent).



Low

■ Medium-Low

Medium

■ Medium-High

High





Elements of the NRI TANF | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip Codes

In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	TANF Recipients (per 1,000 POP)	CLV Mean (per 1,000 POP)	TANF Recipients Index Value
89106	36.8	12.4	296
89101	28.1	12.4	226
89102	21.7	12.4	174
89104	21.3	12.4	171
89110	17.2	12.4	138

Mean TANF recipients per 1,000 residents:

City of Las Vegas 12.4

Valley-wide 11.1





Elements of the NRI TANF | CLV Distribution Map

89143 89131 89166 89149 89130 **TANF** recipients per 1,000 residents continued to drop. The 89129 N. LVB rate fell to 12.4 from 13.6 last quarter (down 8.4 percent). 89108 89134 89128 89138 89106 89144 89110 89107 89101 89145 Charleston 89104 89117 89146 89102 Low ■ Medium-Low Medium Medium-High High

Rancho





Elements of the NRI SNAP | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

Zip Codes	SNAP Recipients (per 1,000 POP)	Valley-wide Mean (per 1,000 POP)	SNAP Recipients Index Value
<u>89106</u>	<u>434.0</u>	<u> 154.5</u>	<u>281</u>
<u>89101</u>	<u>373.6</u>	<u> 154.5</u>	<u>242</u>
89030	361.5	154.5	234
<u>89104</u>	<u>300.0</u>	<u> 154.5</u>	<u>194</u>
89115	280.9	154.5	182
89169	280.8	154.5	182
<u>89102</u>	<u>274.6</u>	<u> 154.5</u>	<u>178</u>
89109	237.0	154.5	153
89119	232.5	154.5	150
89121	224.9	154.5	146






Elements of the NRI SNAP | Valley-wide Distribution Map

The rate of SNAP recipients per 1,000 residents continued to increase. The rate rose to 154.5 from 151.4 last quarter (up 2.1 percent).



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High








Elements of the NRI SNAP | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas Zip Codes

The rate of SNAP recipients per 1,000 residents continued to increase. The rate rose to 154.5 from 151.4 last quarter (up 2.1 percent).



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High







Elements of the NRI SNAP | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip Codes

In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	SNAP Recipients (per 1,000 POP)	CLV Mean (per 1,000 POP)	SNAP Recipients Index Value
89106	434.0	170.3	255
89101	373.6	170.3	219
89104	300.0	170.3	176
89102	274.6	170.3	161
89110	221.7	170.3	130

Mean SNAP recipients per 1,000 residents:


City of Las Vegas 170.3

Valley-wide 154.5





Elements of the NRI SNAP | CLV Distribution Map







Medicaid | Valley-wide Summary Data

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

Zip Codes	Medicaid Recipients (per 1,000 POP)	Valley-wide Mean (per 1,000 POP)	Medicaid Recipients Index Value
<u>89106</u>	<u>519.9</u>	<u>211.7</u>	<u>246</u>
89030	467.5	211.7	221
<u>89101</u>	<u>446.2</u>	<u>211.7</u>	<u>211</u>
<u>89104</u>	<u>382.8</u>	<u>211.7</u>	<u>181</u>
89115	350.6	211.7	166
<u>89102</u>	<u>345.0</u>	<u>211.7</u>	<u>163</u>
89109	323.1	211.7	153
89169	321.8	211.7	152
<u>89107</u>	<u>301.2</u>	<u>211.7</u>	<u>142</u>
89156	300.1	211.7	142






Elements of the NRI Medicaid | Valley-wide Distribution Map

The rate of Medicaid recipients per 1,000 residents increased to 211.7 from 208.6 last quarter (up 1.5 percent).



- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High








Elements of the NRI Medicaid | Valley-wide Distribution Map

City of Las Vegas Zip Codes

The rate of Medicaid recipients per 1,000 residents increased to 211.7 from 208.6 last quarter (up 1.5 percent).



Low

■ Medium-Low

Medium

Medium-High

High





Elements of the NRI Medicaid | CLV Summary Data

Top 5 Zip Codes

In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	Medicaid Recipients (per 1,000 POP)	CLV Mean (per 1,000 POP)	Medicaid Recipients Index Value
89106	519.9	231.1	225
89101	446.2	231.1	193
89104	382.8	231.1	166
89102	345.0	231.1	149
89107	301.2	231.1	130

Mean Medicaid recipients per 1,000 residents:

City of Las Vegas 231.1 Valley-wide 211.7








Elements of the NRI Medicaid | CLV Distribution Map

The rate of Medicaid recipients per 1,000 residents increased to 231.1 from 228.2 last quarter (up 1.3 percent).





■ Medium-Low

■ Medium

■ Medium-High

High



Rancho




Composite Risk





Composite Risk | Valley-wide Distribution Map





High




Medium-High

High

Low


Composite Risk | Valley-wide Distribution Map








Elements of the NRI Composite Risk | CLV Distribution Map





- Low
- Medium-Low
- Medium
- Medium-High
- High



How does the Composite Risk Index differ from the Neighborhood Risk Index?



Review of the NRI Methodology

- 1) A relative level of risk was assigned to each zip code for all risk factors (as shown in the elements of the NRI series).
- 2) Risk factors are combined to create a Composite Risk Index. The Composite Risk Index assigns a weight to each risk factor.
- 3) To create the Neighborhood Risk Index, the Composite Risk Index is weighted by occupied housing units. Zip codes with the highest risk and highest number of occupied housing units can be found and targeted.

Risk factors are assigned a weight of the total 100 percent distribution. TANF cases, for example, are assigned a weight of 8.3 percent.



The Composite Risk Index is weighted by occupied housing units to find and target zip codes with the highest risk and highest number of occupied housing units, creating the NRI.





This implies that two zip codes with equally high composite risk may be ranked differently based on their number of occupied housing units.



NRI | Valley-wide Summary Data

Zip codes with high Composite Risk may not always have equally high Neighborhood Risk once occupied housing units are factored in.

Top 10 Zip Codes

(Zip Codes Within the City noted in **Bold**)

	Occupied	Composite Bick	Neighborhood Risk Index
Zip Codes	Housing Units	Composite Risk (Factor Weighted Average)	(NRI)
89030	13,413	159.3	100
89031	21,152	131.7	94
<u>89108</u>	<u>27,221</u>	<u>115.5</u>	<u>88</u>
<u>89106</u>	<u>8,897</u>	<u>158.5</u>	<u>85</u>
89121	26,042	110.4	83
<u>89110</u>	<u>22,202</u>	<u>113.7</u>	<u>81</u>
<u>89101</u>	<u>14,743</u>	<u>128.6</u>	<u>81</u>
89032	14,401	129.3	80
<u>89104</u>	<u>12,350</u>	<u>135.2</u>	<u>80</u>
89115	18,895	118.2	80

NRI | CLV Summary Data

Zip codes with high Composite Risk may not always have equally high Neighborhood Risk once occupied housing units are factored in.

Top 5 Zip Codes


In the City of Las Vegas

Zip Codes	Occupied Housing Units	Composite Risk (Factor Weighted Average)	Neighborhood Risk Index (NRI)
89108	27,221	114.0	100
89106	8,897	155.3	96
89110	22,202	111.7	91
89104	12,350	133.8	91
89101	14,743	126.3	91


Additional Considerations



Alternative Measures of Risk Food Insecurity



89106 had the highest rate of food insecurity at 27.5 percent.





Neighborhood Economic Risk Assessment

City of Las Vegas

Q3 2015

